

## Continuum of Care: Workgroup Meeting Minutes

Meeting Title	Date	Time	Location
Fiscal Workgroup	11/13/2012	10 am to 3 pm	OB 8, Rm 203

### ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Role	Name
Facilitators	Debra Williams, Co-Chair/Doug Johnson, Co-Chair
Logistics/Scribe	Nina Dyba, CDSS
Technology Professionals	Adrian McIntosh/Kelli Walker

### ATTENDEES

X	Name	X	Name
X	Rebekah Best, CDSS	X	Casey Blake, San Francisco CFS
	Sharon Blakeman, CDSS	X	Diana Boyer, CWDA
X	Fran Bremer, CDSS	X	Laurie Burkholder, CDSS
X	Caroline Caton, CDSS	X	Dana Delmastro, CDSS
	Shelton Dent, DDS		Susan Diedrich, CDSS
X	Cora Dixon, CDSS	X	Sheilah Dupuy, CDSS
	Linda Hall, CDSS		Paula Ensele, CDSS
	Romelia Fontamillas, DSS	X	Josef Gray, EMQ Family Services
X	Eric Harper, Legislative Analyser's Office		Gayle Hermann, Shasta County Probation
	Roz Hyde, CDSS	X	Doug Johnson, The Alliance for Children and Family
	Kasi Jones, EMQ	X	Cheryl King, CDSS
X	Nancy Littlefield, CDSS		Lyn Maschmeyer, CDSS
X	Dave Mitchel, LA County Probation		Diana Nicolaou, DDS
	Didi Okamoto, CDSS	X	Jolie Onodera, Senate Appropriations Committee
X	Marie Ary		Loretta Perez, CDSS
X	Fanita Polk-Reaves, CDSS	X	Janelle Prasad, Foster Youth
X	Angela Dow	X	Chris Reeve, Assembly Human Services Committee
	Angela Reyes, Foster Youth		Donna Richardson, CDSS
X	Vincent Richardson, CDSS	X	Jackie Rutheiser, CA Alliance of Child & Fam. Services
	Debra Samples, US/DHHS/ACFR9	X	Angie Schwartz, The Alliance for Children's Rights
	Ray Thomas, CDSS	X	Jennifer Troia, Senate Budget Committee
	Brenda Usher, CDSS		Angela Valdez, CDSS
X	Jason Wade, EMQ	X	Kathy Watkins, San Bernardino County
X	Adrian McIntosh	X	Debra Williams, CDSS
X	Bonnie Yamamoto, CDSS	X	Kaylyn Askew, Foster Youth

## Continuum of Care: Workgroup Meeting Minutes

	Brian Winfield, DDS	X	Kelli Walker, CDSS
X	Gail Gronert, Assembly Speaker	X	Karen Ullman, Public Health
X	Ricardo Ramirez	X	Deanne Pearn

### AGENDA

	Agenda Item	Presenter	Time
1	Welcome & Introductions	Debra Williams	10 min
2	RBS Presentation	Doug Johnson	45 min
3	ITFC/MTFC Presentation	Debra Williams	45 min
4	Group home (RCL)/FFA presentation	Cora Dixon	1 hour
5	WRAP Funding Presentation	Caroline Caton	1 hour
6	Discussion	Debra/Doug/Cora	30 min
7	Questions	Debra/Doug/Cora	30 min

### MEETING MINUTES FROM 11/13/2012 MEETING:

Welcome and introductions—Roll Call

Review of packet materials, advised scope and vision were updated but would not be discussed, if there were any additional edits to be considered please send them via email for the groups discussion at a later meeting

#### RBS Presentation—Doug Johnson:

- ✓ Purpose is to reduce time in group homes
- ✓ Increase to AFDC-FC costs could not happen, all items had to be cost neutral
- ✓ Increase positive outcomes for youth in congregate care
- ✓ Bring programs up to date and bring youth to permanency
- ✓ More services in care and alternatives that would allow youth to move to lower levels of care which made it cost neutral, lower levels of care is a lower cost
- ✓ Measured cost neutrality in 24 month period
- ✓ Four demonstration counties (Sacramento, SFO, San Bernardino, and Los Angeles)
- ✓ Sacramento targeted probation youth, the others targeted significant mental health child welfare
- ✓ All group had to endure a culture shift of how to practice social work with these populations that is different than it has been done
- ✓ Model includes an assessment that has been tailored so that all children in foster care will benefit from the assessments with the goal being if they are properly assessed in the beginning of care, they will possibly avoid congregate care altogether
- ✓ Different targets services to help each youth move to lower level (individualized services)
- ✓ Family connection, team meetings, and WRAP key innovations to this program and important to keep in the model
- ✓ Includes crisis stabilization and parallel process with flexible funding

## Continuum of Care: Workgroup Meeting Minutes

### ITFC/MTFC Presentation—Debra Williams:

#### ITFC

- ✓ Operated through FFA
- ✓ Close to group home model only for one youth instead of multiple youth
- ✓ Alternative to group home care, intensive services tailored to the youth
- ✓ Flat rate based on five levels of behavioral health identified from in home counselor
- ✓ Flexible in services to meet the needs of the children in the County
- ✓ Evidence based practices

#### MTFC

- ✓ Higher risk youth or youth at risk of incarceration
- ✓ Designed for probation youth
- ✓ Goal is to return to biological home and have them involved at the beginning of the process
- ✓ Individual programs similar model to ITFC
- ✓ Training is expensive because it is out of Oregon
- ✓ Evidence based model—not flexible!
- ✓ After care is included

### Group Home/FFA Presentation--- Cora Dixon:

- ✓ SB 370 created the GH Rate Classification Level (RCL) system 22 years ago
- ✓ Points are determined by a calculation of the weighted hours of services provided in three component areas and divided by 90% of licensed capacity
- ✓ Discussed the calculation of points in each of the three components: Child Care Supervisions (CCS); Social Work Activities (SW); Mental Health Treatment (MH) Services
- ✓ Weightings for CCS are based on experience, education, and training of staff, and are multiplied by number of hours provided to derive at weighted hours
- ✓ SW weightings are based on professional level , and are multiplied by number of hours provided to derive at weighted hours
- ✓ MH weightings are based on professional level , and are multiplied by number of hours provided to derive at weighted hours
- ✓ Audits are performed as provisional (new providers, RCL increase, or new programs) or non-provisional which are ongoing audits of existing providers
- ✓ Discussion of calculations of rate/audit worksheets (in packet of information) and a sample audit to demonstrate how to arrive at points for the RCL and corresponding rate
- ✓ Brief discussion on FFA rate structure (more information in packet of information)
- ✓ Questions regarding group home rate structure and FFA were discussed

### WRAP around—Caroline Caton:

- ✓ True flexible funding
- ✓ End goal in mind when it begins, the question is how will the family sustain this after Wrap ends
- ✓ Two rates (see handout) Counties have flexibility in how they use those funds
- ✓ LA caps the funds regardless of whether the youth is federally eligible or not
- ✓ Uses foster care assistance funds
- ✓ Strengths based process that involves the entire family system
- ✓ NOT a funding stream
- ✓ Needed legislation to obtain the use of money in this flexible way

# Continuum of Care: Workgroup Meeting Minutes

## Discussion and Questions:

- ✓ Asked for a list of counties using WRAP since not all counties are using this
- ✓ Discussion of claiming and estimates and more information needed

## Parking Lot items:

- Group Home provider panel—to discuss needs and funding gaps
- Youth panel—to discuss needs and funding gaps
- Social Worker and FFA panel—to discuss needs and funding gaps

## Next steps:

- Meeting November 27<sup>th</sup> cancelled
- Next meeting on December 4<sup>th</sup> 10AM-3PM in the PENTHOUSE OB 9 1804

---

## ACTION ITEMS

	Action Item	Person Responsible	Due Date	Status
1	Better define funding by placement type	Debra/Donna/Cora	9/25/12	Complete
2	Review of foster care funds and term	Co Chairs & Fiscal	10/2/12	Completed
3	Cost reports for FFA/GH	Project Manager	10/12/12	Ongoing
4	Data from Wraparound	Caroline Caton	10/12/12	Ongoing
5	Data on Out of State youth/facilities	Sheilah Dupuy	10/12/12	Ongoing
6	Funding Streams identified	Donna, Angie, Kathy	10/26/2012	Ongoing
7	RBS Presentation	Doug, Angie, Megan	11/13/2012	In Process
8	Wrap Presentation	Caroline, Mary Sheppard	11/13/2012	In Process

---

## AGREEMENTS

	Agreements Made	Date
1		
2		
3		

---

## Ground Rules

- Start and end meetings on time
- Start with the end in mind
- Every meeting will have an agenda and participants will stick to the agenda
- Use parking lot for off topic issues
- All meeting attendees are encouraged to participate in discussions and decisions
- One conversation at a time – no interruptions or side conversations
- Respect others' opinions (seek first to understand)
- Attend all meetings or send a knowledgeable backup
- Be accountable (complete assignments or notify team/project leader)
- Be open minded, flexible, concise, positive
- Don't personalize
- Have fun!